Page 1 of 2

QI 0+22, HCV. 2 (I G I A OAL 01,	Our course Action Encouveriess 11	cview ivialidar)	
NSPM CORRECTIVE ACTION EFFECTIVENESS REVIEW			
AR Identifier: EFR	EFR Assesses: 🛛 RCE Coi	rrective Action ACE Corrective Action Other	
Root Cause or Problem Statement Addressed by the Activity under review: Leakage through the anchor bolts of the internals stands and RCC Change Fixture			
Activity Identifier Being Reviewed: CAPR 1160372-03	Activity Description: Develop and implement repairs that permanently eliminate leakage through the anchor bolt penetrations of the Unit 1 refueling pool.		
Method of Analysis (check all that a	pply):		
Field Verification	○ Observation	Records Review (list records)	
☐ Audit	Surveillance	Self-Assessment (Focused/SnapShot)	
☐ Interviews	Testing	External Assessment	
Formal Survey	☐ Informal Survey	Other (list)	
Attribute and Measure of Success: Monitor and document the absence of Unit 1 leakage in typical areas including the Sump B and Regen Hx room for the first pool flood after repair in 1R26. Continued leakage would indicate either the wrong root cause of ineffective repairs.			
 Analysis: Areas where leakage had previously been revealed were routinely inspected during 1R26. No leakage was observed until 10/5/2009, when leakage of 7-8 drips per minute was observed coming out of the ceiling of the Regenerative Heat Exchanger Room. CAP 01201071 was initiated for the deficiency. The leakage was observed approximately 14 days after flooding of the refueling cavity. Results of inspection of other areas that had leaked in previous outages, but did not show leakage in 1R26 are as follows: Sump B grout (sump B has been wetted from RHR suction pipes, no leakage through the grout). Grout removal in sump B near the B suction pipe earlier in the outage was dry with no degradation of the containment vessel, rebar, or grout. The corner of containment 715' level near the 12 accumulator (caulk has sheen, but does not appear to be water). NIS penetrations (old white stains) The corner of containment near the 11 accumulator (dry white stain) Floor near the reactor coolant drain tank (dry white stain) Floor near the Exchanger Room ceiling was examined about 1 hour after draining to the 240" level in the refueling l. The wetness was still present, but the dripping had stopped. This supports that the observed leakage may be coming from the RCC change fixture guide tube supports. This is based on two factors. First, leakage essentially stopped when the pool level was lowered below one, and possibly two, of the four wall supports. Second, the wall anchors are essentially the same construction as the floor anchors in which a "J" bolt penetrates the refueling cavity liner and is sealed by a buried seal weld that cannot be inspected. Repairs performed at the beginning of 1R26 are not suspected as being the source of the leakage. All welding performed was visually and dye penetrant examined to confirm the integrity of the welds. 			
hour (without caulking or instacote) to	o a calculated rate of 1 gallon per day,	is decreased from the prior reported rate of 1-2 gallons per , leakage has not been eliminated. The subject CAPR has rmed leakage locations. Extension of the CAPR was	
Actions from CAP 01201071 are tracking completion of an impact assessment of the leakage (update of prior evaluation), revision of RCE 01160372, and identification of the additional inspections to be performed during 1R26 to identify the remaining source(s) of refueling cavity leakage.			

2F-0422, Rev. 2 (FG-PA-CAE-01, "Corrective Action Effectiveness Review Manual")	Page 2 of 2
 The Corrective Action taken meets the intent of the original Corrective Action assignment and a schedule for planned actions supports prevention of event recurrence: 	approved changes, and the
☐ Yes ☒ No	
Cavity leakage was expected to be eliminated during 1R26. Due to leakage observed, associated validation of leakage elimination will not occur until 1R27 (Spring 2011.) 01160372, and performance of additional inspections during 1R26 to identify the rem cavity leakage. Results of these actions should be incorporated into repair plans for U	Recommend revision of RCE
• The Corrective Action taken has been adequately challenged:	
Yes No [If No, make recommendations to correct.]	
The Corrective Action taken has effectively prevented reasonable recurrence of the problem and	d similar occurrences:
☐ Yes ⊠ No	
Cavity leakage was expected to be eliminated during 1R26. Due to leakage observed, associated validation of leakage elimination will not occur until 1R27 (Spring 2011.) 01160372, and performance of additional inspections during 1R26 to identify the rem cavity leakage. Results of these actions should be incorporated into repair plans for U	Recommend revision of RCE naining source(s) of refueling
• The Corrective Action taken has not resulted in a negative impact to plant operation, programs	or equipment:
☐ Yes ☒ No	
Cavity leakage is a nuclear safety concern due to the potential for degradation of concentration containment vessel. Recommend that an impact assessment be performed of the additional have occurred as a result of continued leakage. Completed per CAP 01201071, action	onal degradation that could
Note – If any of the above questions are "No" – generate a new CAP for resolution. CAP 01201071	
CAPs written as a result of this review:	
Initiated CAP Identifiers (when applicable): CAP 01201071	
Additional Effectiveness Review Required?	ompleted following 1R27
Performed By: Steven Skoyen 10/15/2009 Approved By:	